



PTO/SB/17 (01-06)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

FEE TRANSMITTAL

For FY 2006

☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500.00

Complete if Known

Application Number	09/885,151
Filing Date	June 20, 2001
First Named Inventor	ANDREW ROUSE
Examiner Name	Md S. Elahee
Art Unit	2614
Attorney Docket No.	042846-0312951

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify): _____

☒ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 033975 Deposit Account Name: PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee

☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 ☒ Credit any overpayments

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

FEE CALCULATION (All the fees below are due upon filing or may be subject to a surcharge.)**1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES**

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES**Fee Description**

	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)	50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)	200	100
Multiple dependent claims	360	180

Total Claims **Extra Claims** **Fee (\$)** **Fee Paid (\$)**

_____ - 20 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____

HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.

Indep. Claims **Extra Claims** **Fee (\$)** **Fee Paid (\$)**

_____ - 3 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____

HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets **Extra Sheets** **Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof** **Fee (\$)** **Fee Paid (\$)**

_____ - 100 = _____ / 50 = _____ (round up to a whole number) x 250.00 = 0.00

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Brief in support of appeal

Fees Paid (\$)

500.00

SUBMITTED BY

Signature		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	58780	Telephone	703.770.7540
Name (Print/Type)	Syed Jafar Ali	Date	September 12, 2007		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



Appeal Brief Under 37 C.F.R. § 41.37
Attorney Docket No.: 042846-0312951
Application Serial No.: 09/885,151

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPELLANTS : Andrew ROUSE et al. CONFIRMATION No.: 5196
SERIAL NUMBER : 09/885,151 EXAMINER: Md S. Elahee
FILING DATE : June 20, 2001 ART UNIT: 2614
FOR : SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING ACCESS TO FORMS FOR DISPLAYING INFORMATION ON
A WIRELESS ACCESS DEVICE

**Appellants' Brief on Appeal
Under 37 C.F.R. § 41.37**

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Further to the Notice of Appeal dated **May 14, 2007**, Appellants hereby submit this Appellants' Brief on Appeal pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.37.

The Director is authorized to charge the fee for filing an Appeal Brief pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.20(b)(2), as well as any additional fees that may be due, or credit any overpayment of same, to Deposit Account No. 033975 (Ref. No. 042846-0312951).

09/13/2007 AWONDAF1 00000095 033975 09085151
02 FC:1402 500.00 DA

Appeal Brief Under 37 C.F.R. § 41.37

I. Real Party in Interest

By virtue of the Assignment recorded February 4, 2002, at Reel 012553, Frame 0461, International Business Machines Corporation, the assignee of the present application, is the real party in interest.

II. Related Appeals and Interferences

Appellants are not aware of any related appeals or interferences.

III. Status of Claims

Pending: Claims 1-34, 36-45, and 47-62 are pending.

Cancelled: Claims 35 and 46 are cancelled.

Rejected: Claims 1-34, 36-45, and 47-62 stand rejected.

Allowed: No claims have been allowed.

On Appeal: Claims 1-34, 36-45, and 47-62 are appealed.

IV. Status of Amendments

Subsequent to the Final Office Action dated December 13, 2006 ("Final Action"), Appellants filed a Reply and Proposed Amendment in response thereto on March 6, 2007. In the Advisory Action dated March 30, 2007 ("Advisory Action"), the Examiner denied entry of the Proposed Amendment. However, the Examiner also indicated that the Reply overcame the rejection of claims 1, 9, 17, 25, and 53 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over "Learn Microsoft Office" to Russell A. Stultz ("Stultz") in view of U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2006/0105804 to Kumar ("Kumar").

Accordingly, the pending claims, which are attached in **Appendix A** (i.e., claims 1-34, 36-45, and 47-62), are identical to those presented prior to the Final Action. However, this Appeal Brief does not address the rejection of claims 1, 9, 17, 25, and 53 based on the combination of Stulz and Kumar, as the Examiner withdrew the rejection in the Advisory Action.

V. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The present invention relates to a system and method for enabling users to access forms for displaying information on a wireless device (e.g., a mobile phone, interactive pager, personal digital assistant, or other wireless device) via a wireless service provider. The accessed forms may facilitate an ability of the users to retrieve, view, or send various types of information from the wireless device. For example, the various types of forms that can be accessed may include facsimiles, memoranda, invitations, user profiles, or other applications. *E.g.*, Specification at 3, line 23 – 4, line 12. The forms may include predetermined form fields, specific to each application, and may be created, modified, forwarded, or otherwise transmitted to one or more selected recipients. *E.g.*, Specification at 7, lines 6-15; Abstract.

According to various aspects of the invention, as recited in claims 1 and 9, for example, a system and method may be provided for formatting a document that includes transmissible media content based on input generated at a wireless client device (e.g., Figure 1, element 130). *E.g.*, Specification at 3, line 18 – 4, line 6. The document may be formatted for display to include one or more fields corresponding to portions of the transmissible media content (e.g., e-mail, fax, memo, calendar events, etc.). *E.g.*, Specification at 4, lines 2-6. As a result, a user may select one or more fields (e.g., rich text, text, date/time, number, checkbox radio button, list boxes, authors, names, readers, and other fields) for displaying the transmissible media content, for example, via a forms module. *E.g.*, Specification at 16, lines 8-15; and 17, line 11 – 18, line 3.

Thus, a plurality of forms may be presented for display (e.g., via a display), including a full form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to all of the fields available for displaying the transmissible media content. *E.g.*, Specification at 26, line 7 – 27, line 4. Further, the plurality of forms presented for display may also include a brief form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to the user selected one or more fields corresponding to the portions of the transmissible media content, wherein the user selected fields are less than all the available fields. *E.g.*, Specification at 26, line 7 – 27, line 4.

The wireless client device may include an input interface that enables selection of at least one form from the plurality of different forms for displaying the transmissible media content. For example, a form application associated with the selected form may be provided (e.g., via execution of a processor unit) in order to format display of the transmissible media content according to the selected form. *E.g.*, Specification at 26, lines 7-12. Accordingly, formatted transmissible media content may be transmitted (e.g., to or from the wireless client device) via a wireless medium. *E.g.*, Specification at 6, line 19 – 8, line 17.

According to various aspects of the invention, as recited in claim 17, a system for formatting a document that includes transmissible media content based on input generated at a wireless client device may include various means for performing the various functions previously described in connection with claims 1 and 9, for example. *See generally* Figures 1-3 and accompanying text. Similarly, according to various aspects of the invention, as recited in claims 25 and 53, for example, a storage medium may be provided for storing machine readable code (e.g., modules) to perform the various functions previously described in connection with claims 1 and 9, for example. *See generally* Figures 1-4 and accompanying text.

More particularly, claims 17, 25, 53 recite, among other things, that the system and method for formatting a document, as recited in claims 1 and 9, for example, include selection means associated with a module comprising selection code that enables the user to select the one or more fields corresponding to the portions of transmissible media content. *E.g.*, Specification at 13, lines 2-13, as well as display means associated with a display module comprising presenting code for displaying or presenting the plurality of forms. *E.g.*, Specification at 14, line 16 – 15, line 7. Furthermore, an input interface means may be associated with a forms module comprising selecting code that enables selection of the least one form on the wireless client device (e.g., Figure 3, element 310), and processor means may provide the form application associated with the selected form by invoking providing code associated with the forms module. *E.g.*, Figure 3, element 324. Therefore, the formatted transmissible media content may be transmitted via a wireless medium, for example, using communication code associated with a communication module. *See, e.g.*, Figure 1.

VI. Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

(1) Claims 1-34, 36-39, 41-45, 47-49, 51-57, 59-60, and 62 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,561,446 to Montlick ("Montlick"). Final Action at 3-9.

(2) Claims 40, 50, and 58 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over Montlick in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,010,616 to Carlson ("Carlson"). Final Action at 10.

(3) Claim 61 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over Montlick in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,169,911 to Wagner et al. ("Wagner"). Final Action at 10-11.

VII. Argument

A. The Rejection of Claims 1-34, 36-39, 41-45, 51-57, 59-60, and 62 is Improper Because Montlick Does Not Disclose Each and Every Feature Recited Therein.

A *prima facie* case of anticipation requires a single reference to disclose, either expressly or inherently, each and every element as set forth in the claim. *Verdegaal Brothers v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 U.S.P.Q.2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987); *see also Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 U.S.P.Q.2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989) ("The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as contained in the . . . claim").

In the instant case, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-34, 36-39, 41-45, 47-49, 51-57, 59-60, and 62 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Montlick. Final Action at 3-9. This rejection is improper for at least the reason that the Examiner has failed to establish a *prima facie* case of anticipation, as Montlick fails to disclose each and every feature of the claimed invention. For at least this reason, the rejection is improper and should be reversed.

More particularly, Montlick does not disclose at least the feature of "presenting a plurality of different forms," which include "a full form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to all of the fields available for displaying the transmissible media content," and "a brief form used to format the display of the transmissible

media content according to the user selected one or more fields corresponding to the portions of the transmissible media content, wherein the user selected fields are less than all the available fields,” as recited in at least independent claim 1, for example.

The Examiner alleges, however, that Montlick discloses the “full form used to format the display . . . according to all of the fields available for displaying” at Fig. 3a, and the “brief form used to format the display . . . according to the user selected one or more fields” at Fig. 4. See Final Action at 4. In particular, the Examiner relies upon various passages in cols. 8-9, alleging that the “form **physical – John Q Public** . . . has multiple fields 51, 52 and 53 and **electronic ink file 54** has fields 52 and 52 which are selected fields of form **physical – John Q Public**,” and that Fig. 3a illustrates “a full form and **electronic ink file 54** is a brief form.” Final Action at 4 (emphasis in original). Appellants disagree with the propriety of the Examiner’s assertions.

For example, the claimed invention recites that the plurality of different forms relate to a “document formatted for display to include one or more fields corresponding to portions of . . . transmissible media content.” As such, “a user [can] select the one or more fields corresponding to the portions of transmissible media content,” and in response to the user’s selection, “a plurality of *different forms*” are presented to the user. Specifically, the plurality of different forms include at least “a full form” formatted to display “all of the fields available for displaying the transmissible media content,” and “a brief form” formatted to display “the user selected one or more fields,” which includes “less than all the available fields.” In other words, the “brief form” is a subset of the “full form,” arising as a function of “enabling a user to select the one or more fields.” As such, given a “document formatted . . . to include one or more fields,” the “full form” includes “all of the fields available for displaying the transmissible media content.” By contrast, the “brief form” only includes “the user selected one or more fields,” which includes “less than all the available fields.”

Montlick, however, does not disclose enabling a user to select one or more fields to create “a plurality of different forms” in this manner. Rather, the aspects of Montlick relied upon by the Examiner as allegedly constituting the “full form” (i.e., elements 51, 52, and 53 illustrated in Fig. 3a) cannot be selected by a user to “format the display of the transmissible

media content.” Instead, Montlick indicates that elements 51 include “data entry fields . . . at **fixed positions** relative to the form,” that elements 52 include “handwritten notes . . . **placed on any portions** of the form,” and that elements 53 include “fields [that] . . . may contain handwritten information.” Montlick at col. 8, line 65 – col. 9, line 25. Thus, at best, Montlick identifies elements 51 and 53 as constituting “particular fields of particular forms,” but Montlick does not disclose “enabling a user to select the one or more fields” in a way that can be used to “format the display of the transmissible media content,” as recited in claim 1, for example. As such, Montlick does not disclose a distinction between a “full form” and a “brief form” for at least the reason that Montlick does not disclose formatting the display of a form according to “user selected . . . fields.”

In fact, Montlick disavows techniques that would relate to “presenting a plurality of *different forms*,” at least one of which includes “less than all the available fields.” For example, Montlick indicates that a “page of a typical internist’s patient physical form . . . is carefully mapped so that the relative locations of spaces on the page . . . **are always the same.**” Montlick at col. 8, lines 10-24. Thus, although Montlick allows users to enter data into a form by interacting with the form via a stylus responsive interface, Montlick does not disclose “enabling a user to select the one or more fields” and “presenting a plurality of *different forms*” in which the display of transmissible media content is formatted “according to the user selected one or more fields,” as recited in claim 1, for example.

Even so, the Examiner alleges that an electronic ink file, as illustrated in Fig. 4 of Montlick, includes “fields 52 and 52 which are selected fields of [the] form” illustrated in Fig. 3a. Final Action at 4. The Examiner’s assertion is incorrect for at least the reasons given above, in that the handwritten notes corresponding to elements 52 are not fields of the form described therein. Rather, Montlick clearly indicates that the “notes 52 **are not interpreted** by the central computer system 10 or the pen-based computer 12. Moreover, **no attempt is made to associate any one of the notes 52 with any one part of the page 50**” (col. 8, lines 29-32) (emphasis added). As such, it is unclear how the Examiner can interpret handwritten notes, which include “information . . . unintelligible to the computer” (Montlick at col. 8, lines 45-52), to be equivalent with fields that a user can select to format the display of a form. For

example, Montlick specifically distinguishes between handwritten information contained in digital ink files and “data entry fields” associated with a form. *E.g.*, Montlick at col. 8, line 65 – col. 9, line 25. Although certain “fields . . . may also contain handwritten information, stored as electronic ink and associated with specific portions of the form,” the handwritten ink, in itself, is distinct from the fields with which they are associated. Rather, the handwritten ink is limited to “a context specific to particular fields of particular forms.” Montlick at col. 9, lines 7-10. In other words, any field-level notes in the handwritten ink file are inseparable from their associated fields, and whenever Montlick displays a form, every field in the form is also displayed, and any handwritten notes or electronic ink “will be overlaid on top.” Montlick at col. 8, lines 52-54.

These differences are clear in view of Montlick’s indicating that “**all of the notes 52 are saved together** as a . . . graphic image,” which is then “associated with a particular form.” Montlick at col. 8, lines 32-40 (emphasis added). In other words, handwritten notes are associated with an entire form as a monolithic image, not as selectable fields, such that the notes cannot and do not exist independently of the form with which they are associated. To this end, Montlick unequivocally discloses that “the information (the handwritten notes) contained in the [digital ink] document is unintelligible to the computer,” and the notes only have “meaning to a user . . . [when] recalled for display **together with the form they are related to.**” Montlick at col. 8, lines 45-52 (emphasis added).

Accordingly, for at least the reason that Montlick does not enable users to choose or otherwise select which fields will be displayed in a form, Montlick does not disclose at least the feature of “enabling a user to select . . . one or more fields corresponding to portions of the transmissible media content,” as recited in claim 1, for example. Furthermore, for at least the reason that Montlick always displays each and every field associated with a form, Montlick does not disclose “presenting a plurality of different forms,” as recited in claim 1, for example. Further still, because Montlick does not disclose either of “enabling a user to select . . . fields,” or “presenting a plurality of different forms,” Montlick does not disclose “a brief form used to format the display . . . according to the user selected one or more fields,” which include “less than all the available fields,” as recited in claim 1, for example.

Thus, for at least the foregoing reasons, Montlick does not disclose several features recited in at least independent claim 1. For at least this reason, the rejection of claim 1 based on Montlick is improper and should be reversed.

Independent claims 9, 17, 25, and 53 include features similar to those set forth in independent claim 1. Dependent claims 2-8, 10-16, 18-24, 26-34, 36-39, 41-45, 47-49, 51-52, 54-57, 59-60, and 62 depend from and add features to one or more of independent claims 1, 9, 17, 25, and 53. Accordingly, the rejection of these claims based on Montlick is likewise improper and should be reversed for at least the same reasons.

B. The Rejection of Claims 40, 50, and 58 is Improper Because Montlick and Carlson, Either Alone or in Combination, Fail to Disclose, Teach, or Suggest Each and Every Feature Recited Therein.

The Examiner has rejected claims 40, 50, and 58 under 25 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over Montlick in view of Carlson. Final Action at 10. This rejection is improper for at least the reason that the Examiner has failed to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, as the references relied upon, either alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest each and every feature of the claimed invention. For at least this reason, the rejection is improper and should be reversed.

More particularly, for at least the reasons discussed above in Section VII.A, Montlick fails to disclose, teach, or suggest at least the feature of “ . . . ,” as recited in independent claim 1, for example. Carlson fails to cure at least this deficiency of Montlick. Therefore, for at least this reason, Montlick and Carlson, either alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest every feature of independent claim 1.

Independent claims 9 and 53 include features similar to those set forth in independent claim 1. Dependent claims 40, 50, and 58 depend from and add features to one of independent claims 1, 9, and 53. Accordingly, for at least these reasons, the rejection of claims 40, 50, and 58 based on the combination of Montlick and Carlson is improper and should be reversed.

C. The Rejection of Claim 61 is Improper Because Montlick and Wagner, Either Alone or in Combination, Fail to Disclose, Teach, or Suggest Each and Every Feature Recited Therein.

The Examiner has rejected claim 61 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over Montlick in view of Wagner. Final Action at 10-11. This rejection is improper for at least the reason that the Examiner has failed to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, as the references relied upon, either alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest each and every feature of the claimed invention. For at least this reason, the rejection is improper and should be reversed.

More particularly, for at least the reasons discussed above in Section VII.A, Montlick fails to disclose, teach, or suggest at least the feature of “ . . . ,” as recited in independent claim 1, for example. Wagner fails to cure at least this deficiency of Montlick. Therefore, for at least this reason, Montlick and Wagner, either alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest every feature of independent claim 1.

Independent claim 53 includes features similar to those set forth in independent claim 1. Dependent claim 61 depends from and add features to independent claim 53. Accordingly, for at least these reasons, the rejection of claim 61 based on the combination of Montlick and Wagner is improper and should be reversed.

VIII. Claims Appendix

The pending claims (claims 1-34, 36-45, and 47-62) are attached in **Appendix A**.

IX. Evidence Appendix

Appendix B: None.

X. Related Proceedings Appendix

Appendix C: None

Conclusion

For at least the foregoing reasons, Appellants respectfully submit that the claims are clear, definite, and allowable over the references relied upon by the Examiner. Therefore, reversal of all of the rejections is respectfully requested.

Date: **September 12, 2007**

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Syed Jafar Ali
Registration No. 58,780

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
P.O. Box 10500
McLean, Virginia 22102
Main: 703-770-7900
Fax: 703-770-7901

Appendix A: Claims Appendix

1. **(Previously Presented)** A method of formatting a document that includes transmissible media content based on input generated at a wireless client device, the document formatted for display to include one or more fields corresponding to portions of the transmissible media content, the method comprising:

enabling a user to select the one or more fields corresponding to the portions of transmissible media content;

presenting a plurality of different forms comprising:

a full form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to all of the fields available for displaying the transmissible media content, and

a brief form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to the user selected one or more fields corresponding to the portions of the transmissible media content, wherein the user selected fields are less than all the available fields;

enabling selection, on the wireless client device, of at least one form from the plurality of different forms for displaying the transmissible media content;

providing a form application associated with the selected form to format display of the transmissible media content according to the selected form; and

transmitting the formatted transmissible media content via a wireless medium.

2. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1, wherein enabling selection of at least one form further comprises:

enabling selection of at least one of the brief form, the full form, a create form, a modify form, a delete form, a forward form, a fax form, and a send form.

3. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1, further comprising:

communicating via at least one of a Bluetooth protocol, a Wireless Application protocol,

a Global System Mobile protocol, and a Wireless Markup Language protocol.

4. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1, further comprising:
presenting the transmissible media content to a user according to at least one presentation options.
5. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 4, wherein the at least one presentation option comprises at least one of a facsimile form, a memorandum form, an invitation form, and a user profile form.
6. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1, wherein the transmissible media content comprises at least one of user data, address data, memo data, and search data.
7. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1, further comprising:
communicating the transmissible media content from a data source remote from the wireless client device.
8. **(Original)** The method of claim 1, wherein the form application comprises at least one form and at least one related subform.
9. **(Previously Presented)** A system for formatting a document that includes transmissible media content based on input generated at a wireless client device, the document formatted for display to include one or more fields corresponding to portions of the transmissible media content, the system comprising:
a forms module enabling a user to select the one or more fields corresponding to the portions of transmissible media content; and
a display that displays a plurality of forms comprising:
a full form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to all of the fields available for displaying the transmissible media content,

and

a brief form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to the user selected one or more fields corresponding to the portions of the transmissible media content, wherein the user selected fields are less than all the available fields;

an input interface on the wireless client device that enables selection of at least one form from the plurality of forms for displaying the transmissible media content on the wireless client device; and

a processor unit that provides a form application associated with the selected form to format display of the transmissible media content according to the selected form and transmits the formatted transmissible media content via a wireless medium.

10. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 9, wherein the processor unit is configured to select at least one of the brief form, the full form, a create form, a modify form, a delete form, a forward form, a fax form and a send form.

11. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 9, wherein the processor unit is configured to transmit the formatted transmissible media content via at least one of a Bluetooth protocol, a Wireless Application protocol, a Global System Mobile protocol, and a Wireless Markup Language protocol.

12. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 9, wherein the processor unit is configured to present the transmissible media content via the display of the wireless client device to a user according to at least one presentation option.

13. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 12 wherein the presentation option comprises at least one of facsimile form, memorandum form, invitation form, and user profile form.

14. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 9, wherein the transmissible media content comprises at least one of user data, address data, memo data, and search data.

15. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 9, wherein the transmissible media content is transmitted from a data source remote from the wireless client device.

16. **(Original)** The system of claim 9, wherein the form application comprises at least one form and at least one related subform.

17. **(Previously Presented)** A system for formatting a document that includes transmissible media content based on input generated at a wireless client device, the document formatted for display to include one or more fields corresponding to portions of the transmissible media content, the system comprising:

selection means enabling a user to select the one or more fields corresponding to the portions of transmissible media content;

display means for displaying a plurality of forms comprising:

a full form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to all of the fields available for displaying the transmissible media content, and

a brief form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to the user selected one or more fields corresponding to the portions of the transmissible media content, wherein the user selected fields are less than all the available fields;

input interface means for enabling selection of at least one form from the plurality of forms for displaying the transmissible media content on the wireless client device; and

processor means for providing a form application associated with the selected form for formatting display of the transmissible media content according to the selected form; and

transmitting the formatted transmissible media content via a wireless medium.

18. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 17, wherein the processor means enables selection of at least one of the brief form, the full form, a create form, a modify form, a delete form, a forward form, a fax form and a send form.

19. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 17, wherein the processor means transmits the formatted transmissible media content via at least one of a Bluetooth protocol, a Wireless Application protocol, a Global System Mobile protocol, and a Wireless Markup Language protocol.

20. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 17, wherein the processor means is configured to present the transmissible media content via the display means to the user according to at least one presentation option.

21. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 20 wherein the at least one presentation option comprises at least one of a facsimile form, a memorandum form, an invitation form, and a user profile form.

22. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 17, wherein the transmissible media content comprises at least one of user data, address data, memo data, and search data.

23. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 17, wherein the transmissible media content is transmitted from a data source remote from the wireless client device.

24. **(Original)** The system of claim 17, wherein the form application comprises at least one form and at least one related subform.

25. **(Previously Presented)** A storage medium for storing machine readable code, the machine readable code being executable to format a document that includes transmissible media content based on input generated at a wireless client device, the document formatted

for display to include one or more fields corresponding to portion of the transmissible media content, the storage medium comprising:

forms selection code that enables a user to select the one or more fields corresponding to the portions of transmissible media content;

presenting code that presents a plurality of forms comprising:

a full form used to format the display of transmissible media content according to all of the available fields for displaying the transmissible media content, and

a brief form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to the user selected one or more fields corresponding to the portions of the transmissible media content, wherein the user selected fields are less than all the available fields;

selecting code that enables selection, on the wireless client device, of at least one form from the plurality of forms for displaying the transmissible media content on the wireless client device;

providing code that provides a form application associated with the selected form to format display of the transmissible media according to the selected form; and

communicating code that transmits the formatted transmissible media content via a wireless medium.

26. **(Previously Presented)** The storage medium of claim 25, wherein the selecting code further comprises option selecting code that selects at least one of the brief form, the full form, a create form, a modify form, a delete form, a forward form, a fax form and a send form.

27. **(Original)** The storage medium of claim 25, further comprising protocol communicating code that communicates via at least one of a Bluetooth protocol, a Wireless Application protocol, a Global System Mobile protocol, and a Wireless Markup Language protocol.

28. **(Original)** The storage medium of claim 25, further comprising presenting code that presents the transmissible media content to a user according to at least one presentation

option.

29. **(Previously Presented)** The storage medium of claim 28 wherein the at least one presentation option comprises at least one of a facsimile form, a memorandum form, an invitation form, and a user profile form.

30. **(Previously Presented)** The storage medium of claim 25, wherein the transmissible media content comprises at least one of user data, address data, memo data, and search data.

31. **(Previously Presented)** The storage medium of claim 25, further comprising remote communicating code that communicates the transmissible media content from a data source remote from the wireless client device.

32. **(Original)** The storage medium of claim 25, wherein the form application comprises at least one form and at least one related subform.

33. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1, wherein the selected at least one form comprises at least two predetermined fields.

34. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 33, wherein one or more of the at least two predetermined fields is automatically pre-filled.

35. **(Cancelled)**

36. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1, wherein each of the plurality of different forms is associated with at least one communication type.

37. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1, wherein the step of enabling selection of at least one form, on the wireless client device, comprises enabling selection of a

communication type from a plurality of different communication types.

38. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1 wherein enabling selection of at least one form, on the wireless client device, comprises enabling selection of a type of receiving terminal from a plurality of different types of receiving terminals.

39. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 38, wherein the plurality of different types of receiving terminals comprise at least one of a facsimile, a computer terminal, and a wireless device terminal.

40. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1, wherein the selected at least one form is a custom made form.

41. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1, wherein the step of formatting further comprises:

creating a custom action associated with the selected at least one form option.

42. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 1, wherein the step of enabling selection of at least one form further comprises:

enabling selection, for view by a user, of one of an entire form and a brief option, wherein the brief option only presents a portion of the selected at least one form.

43. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 9, wherein the at least one form comprises at least two predetermined fields.

44. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 43, wherein one or more of the at least two predetermined fields is pre-filled.

45. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 9, wherein the at least one form is selected

by a user.

46. (Cancelled)

47. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 9, wherein the at least one form is selected by selecting a communication type from a plurality of different communication types.

48. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 9, wherein the at least one form is selected by selecting a type of receiving terminal from a plurality of different types of receiving terminals.

49. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 48, wherein the type of receiving terminal is one of a facsimile, a computer terminal, and a wireless device terminal.

50. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 9, wherein the at least one form comprises a custom made form.

51. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 9, wherein the input interface enables a user to create a custom action associated with the at least one form.

52. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 9, wherein the input interface enables a user to select one of an entire form and a brief form, wherein the brief form presents a portion of the at least one form.

53. (Previously Presented) A system for formatting a document that includes transmissible media content based on input generated at a wireless client device, the document formatted for display to include one or more fields corresponding to portions of the transmissible media content, the system comprising:

a module that enables a user to select the one or more fields corresponding to the

portions of transmissible media content;

a display module that displays a plurality of forms comprising:

a full form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to all of the fields available for displaying the transmissible media content, and

a brief form used to format the display of the transmissible media content according to the user selected one or more fields corresponding to the portions of the transmissible media content, wherein the user selected fields are less than all the available fields;

at least one forms module that enables selection, on the wireless client device, of a form from the plurality of forms for displaying transmissible media content, and provides a form application associated with the selected form that formats display of the transmissible media content according to the selected form, such that the user is enabled to edit the document; and

at least one communication module that communicates the document from the client wireless device to one or more receiving terminals.

54. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 53, wherein the one or more receiving terminals includes at least one of a facsimile, a computer terminal, and a wireless device terminal.

55. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 53, wherein the one or more receiving terminals are other than wireless device terminals.

56. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 53, wherein the at least one forms module includes pre-stored forms.

57. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 53, wherein the at least one forms module includes pre-stored forms and enables creation of custom forms.

58. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 53, wherein the at least one forms module enables creation of custom forms.

59. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 53, wherein the at least one forms module enables the user to specify a form type and a plurality of form properties of a custom form.

60. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 53, wherein the form selected by the user includes at least two predetermined fields, wherein a first predetermined field includes content and a second predetermined field includes an action property, and wherein the action property facilitates communication of the content of the first predetermined field to the one or more receiving terminals.

61. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 60, wherein the action property includes one of a Mail To property and a Dial Phone property.

62. **(Previously Presented)** The system of claim 60, wherein the action property is pre-stored in the second predetermined field.

Appendix B: Evidence Appendix

None.

Appendix C: Related Proceedings Appendix

None.